

# Consensus of one performance measure and more than one data set

R supplement of

“Exploratory and Inferential Analysis  
of Benchmark Experiments”

Manuel J. A. Eugster

benchmark version 0.01

In Section 4.2 – Consensus – we determined the consensus based on the individual benchmark data set results.

Requirements:

```
> Sweave('uci621.Rnw')
> Sweave('uci621-1x21-exp.Rnw')
```

In the latter Rnw-file we calculated the oder relations for each data set; according to the “lmer-path”

```
> rels
```

An ensemble of 21 relations of size 6 x 6.

and the “fr-path”

```
> rels2
```

An ensemble of 21 relations of size 6 x 6.

**The “lmer-path” consensus:**

```
> con1 <- relation_consensus(rels, 'SD/L',
+                             control=list(all=TRUE))
> tsort(con1)
```

```
[[1]]
```

```
svm < lda < rpart < nnet < naiveBayes < knn
```

```
[[2]]
```

```
svm < lda < rpart < nnet < knn < naiveBayes
```

### The “fr-path” consensus:

```
> con2 <- relation_consensus(rels2, 'SD/L',  
+                             control=list(all=TRUE))  
> tsort(con2)
```

```
[[1]]  
svm < nnet < lda < rpart < naiveBayes < knn
```

```
[[2]]  
svm < lda < rpart < nnet < naiveBayes < knn
```

```
[[3]]  
svm < lda < nnet < rpart < naiveBayes < knn
```

```
[[4]]  
svm < lda < rpart < naiveBayes < nnet < knn
```

```
[[5]]  
svm < nnet < lda < rpart < knn < naiveBayes
```

```
[[6]]  
svm < lda < rpart < nnet < knn < naiveBayes
```

```
[[7]]  
svm < lda < nnet < rpart < knn < naiveBayes
```

## References

M. J. A. Eugster, T. Hothorn, and F. Leisch. Exploratory and inferential analysis of benchmark experiments. Technical Report 30, Institut für Statistik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany, 2008. URL <http://epub.ub.uni-muenchen.de/4134/>.